

## **Grant Reviewer Evaluation Criteria**

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_ Date of Review: \_\_\_\_\_

Proposed Project:						
Α	mount Requested: Reviewe	er:				
que	ections: The ratings range from a score of 0-10 (depending on the maxistion), "0" being the minimum and "5" or "10" being the maximum. See trence to the system.					
	A. Meeting the Goals of the Foundation	Max	kimum	Actual		
1.	How well does the grant help assure the continued existence or creat accessible, affordable facilities or services in the community that are responsive to the needs of the community?		5			
2.	How well does the grant support the provision of services to the disad the uninsured, and/or the underinsured?		5			
3.	How well does the grant support the goal of improved health care or we the service area and those served?	vellness to	5			
3.	How well does the project provide for education within its goals for participant self-sufficiency or self-awareness over time?		5			
4.	How well does the project provide for a plan of sustainability upon cor of Foundation funding?	nclusion	5			

## B. Project Goals, Focus, and Impact 6. Level of clarity, concreteness, and definiteness of the program or 10 project to be supported by the grant, including its specific goals, activities, time-lines, budget, and means of measuring success? 7. The qualifications and credentials of the applicant's staff who will oversee 5 and carry out the project are sufficient and appropriate for the identified goals. Apparent feasibility and likelihood of success of the program or project is 8. 10 weighed out in the approaches and resources to be used. 9. Ability of the applicant to administer these programs or services related to use of resources? 10. Level of impact that the program or project is likely to have on the 10 community, including any long-term benefits, is well thought-out or measurable. Does the program or project promote cooperation with other organizations 11. 5 and integrate, rather than duplicate, existing community resources and services? If there is duplication, does the applicant clearly address how they are unique or different from the existing services being provided?

## C. <u>Financial Considerations and Sound Principles</u> Does the applicant appear to operate under sound financial principles?

13.	Level of expected cost of the program or project, the financial needs of the applicant, and the amount of the grant requested are clearly defined and supported. (The lower the expenses and financial needs of the grant requested compared to the total project cost, the better.)	10	
14.	The applicant addresses whether and to what extent other organizations are funding part of the program or project, have made a challenge grant, or will make a matching grant, or the applicant otherwise is able to obtain other funding.	5	
15.	Does the applicant have a plan for future ongoing financial support for the program or project from other sources?	5	
	D. <u>References</u>		
16.	The recommendations of references supplied by the applicant are viable for the project to be undertaken or services provided by the agency.	5	
	(Maximum Possible Score = 100) Actual Total Score _		
How well	does the project address needs identified in LWF's mission, vision, and Comm	unity Pla	n?
Other co	mments by the evaluator:		