



Grant Reviewer Evaluation Criteria

Applicant:

Proposed Project:

Amount Requested:

Reviewer:

Date of Review:

Directions: The ratings range from a score of 0-10 ("0" being the minimum and "10" being the maximum). See the Scoring Guidelines for Grant Evaluation at the end of this form. Type within the designated areas.

A. Meeting the Goals of the Foundation	Maximum	Evaluation
1. The project appears to assure the continued existence of services to address the health needs or well-being of the populations in the Service Area.	10	—
—		
2. The project will help support medical, dental, or behavioral/mental health needs or activities or services that could be related to improvement within these areas over time.	10	—
—		
3. The project has provisions to include services to the disadvantaged, the uninsured, or the under-insured, or those identified as at risk or with need.	5	—
—		
B. Project Goals, Focus, and Impact		
4. Goals and desired outcomes of the program or project to be supported by the grant are well defined.	10	—
—		
5. The project includes collaboration and networking with other entities to achieve the goals.	5	—
—		
6. The project's timelines and means of measuring success are defined.	10	—
—		
7. The project includes education components or a plan for ongoing improvement, follow up, or impact to the desired goals.	10	—
—		

C. Financial Considerations and Sound Principles

8.. The resources for the proposed funding of the project are defined, including what other funds, whether new, operational, matching, or in-kind, are available, or whether there are other sources of revenue for the project. -- 9. Expenses for the project are defined and detailed, whether part of the grant request, other cash sources, or in-kind. -- 10. The project expenses within the Foundation grant request appear to be reasonable and directly related to the desired goals. -- 11. The project has a plan or provision for ongoing sustainability in funding. --	10 -- 10 -- 10 -- 10 --
---	--

(Maximum Possible Score = 100) Total Score:

How well does the project address the priorities and focus for the grant cycle?

Other comments by the evaluator:

Scoring Guidelines for Grant Evaluation

The following points scale is utilized for the 10 questions on this Grant Reviewer Evaluation Form to score the specific areas related to the grant application based on the information provided within the application.

0	Not applicable, or no adherence or definition indicated at all
1 – 4	Minimally applicable, level of consistency not defined; needs more content
5	Average or moderately applicable, all information is present but could be defined better or with more consistency throughout the application
6 – 9	Above average and effective application, more definition is present and project has consistency in goals, focus, content
10	Highly effective, all information is present to address or define the project, desired results appear to be achievable or with next step opportunities related to project goals, focus, intent, and approach